

X-641-65-387

NASA TM X- 55297

# HYPERFINE SPLITTINGS AND $g_F$ VALUES OF METASTABLE $H_2$

N 65-35979

FACILITY FORM 602

(ACCESSION NUMBER)

22

(PAGES)

TMX 55297

(NASA CR OR TMX OR AD NUMBER)

(THRU)

(CODE)

(CATEGORY)

by

LUE-YUNG CHOW CHIU

GPO PRICE \$ \_\_\_\_\_

CSFTI PRICE(S) \$ \_\_\_\_\_

OCTOBER 1965

Hard copy (HC) 1.00Microfiche (MF) .50

ff 653 July 65

 NASA

GODDARD SPACE FLIGHT CENTER  
GREENBELT, MARYLAND

Preprint for Publication

# HYPERFINE SPLITTINGS AND $g_F$ VALUES OF METASTABLE $H_2$

LUE-YUNG CHOW CHIU\*  
Catholic University of America  
Washington D. C.  
and  
Goddard Space Flight Center, NASA  
Greenbelt, Maryland

35979

The energy arising from the hyperfine interaction, the interaction with the magnetic field and the cross term between them have been studied for ortho  $H_2$  at  $c^3\pi_u$  state. The cross term gives rise to a first order correction of the  $g_F$  values which accounts for the discrepancies between the theoretical zero<sup>th</sup> order  $g_F$  and the experimental values. The interactions are expressed as products of irreducible spherical tensors and Racah's method is used to derive all the diagonal and off diagonal matrix elements. The case of rotational level,  $N = 1$ , is presented in detail. The coupling constants of hyperfine structure have been evaluated by using a single configuration electronic wave function for  $c^3\pi_u$  state of  $H_2$ .

*Author*

## INTRODUCTION

The odd rotational levels of ortho  $H_2$  and the even rotational levels of para  $H_2$  of the  $c^3\pi_u$  state are metastable with a life time of the order of milliseconds. Lichten<sup>1,2</sup> measured the fine structure splittings and the  $g_J$  values of the rotational level  $N = 2$  of para  $H_2$  to a high precision by atomic beams magnetic resonance method. The hyperfine splittings and the  $g_F$  values of the  $N = 1$  level

---

\* Presently at the Theoretical Division, Goddard Space Flight Center, NASA.

of ortho  $H_2$  have recently been measured by Lichten and Brooks.<sup>3</sup> Since hydrogen molecule is the lightest diatomic molecule, pure Hund's case<sup>4</sup> b coupling of angular momenta can be applied to a very good approximation. The properties of the  $c^3\pi_u$  state has therefore been of great theoretical interest. The fine structure of this state has been studied by Fontana<sup>5</sup> and by Chiu.<sup>6</sup> Its hyperfine structure has been studied by Frey and Mizushima,<sup>7</sup> and the relative life time of the fine structure levels due to the perturbation of other electronic states has been studied by Chiu.<sup>8</sup>

The fine structure separations are of the order of 5000 Mc/Sec<sup>2,6</sup> which is not very large in comparison with the hyperfine separations (of the order of 500 Mc/Sec). The second order corrections to the hyperfine energies will therefore be large. Recent measurements<sup>3</sup> indicate that the measured  $g_F$  values differ considerably from the zero<sup>th</sup> order ( $g_F^{(0)}$ ) values where the perturbations from the nearby fine structure levels have been neglected. These differences are mainly due to a nonvanishing cross term of the hyperfine interaction and the interaction of the molecule with the magnetic field. The corrections to the  $g_F$  values from this cross term will be of the first order ( $g_F^{(1)}$ ), and they can take on large values.

In section 1 of this paper we will discuss these corrections on  $g_F$  values. The diagonal and off diagonal matrix elements of the hyperfine interacting Hamiltonian will be derived in section 2. In section 3 we will work out the details for the case of rotational level  $N = 1$ , namely the second order energy corrections  $E^{(2)}$  and the corrections on  $g_F$  will be given for all the hyperfine states. A set of coupling constants which have been evaluated numerically from

a single configuration electronic wave function<sup>6</sup> for the  $c^3\pi_u$  state will be given in the last section.

### 1. ENERGY CORRECTIONS AND $g_F$ CORRECTIONS

The perturbing Hamiltonian,  $H'$ , of a diatomic molecule due to its hyperfine interaction ( $H_{\text{hfs}}$ ) and its interaction with the external magnetic field ( $H_M$ ) is the following:

$$H' = H_{\text{hfs}} + H_M,$$

where

$$H_{\text{hfs}} = H_1(\text{hfs}) + H_2(\text{hfs}) + H_3(\text{hfs})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} H_1(\text{hfs}) &= (g_I \alpha^2/2) \sum_{i,\beta} (\underline{\ell}_i \cdot \underline{I}_\beta) \frac{1}{r_{i\beta}^3} \\ H_2(\text{hfs}) &= (4\pi g_I \alpha^2/3) \sum_{i,\beta} \delta(r_{i\beta}) \underline{S}_i \cdot \underline{I}_\beta \\ H_3(\text{hfs}) &= - (g_I \alpha^2/2) \sum_{i,\beta} \left[ (\underline{S}_i \cdot \underline{I}_\beta) - \frac{3(\underline{S}_i \cdot \underline{r}_{i\beta})(\underline{I}_\beta \cdot \underline{r}_{i\beta})}{r_{i\beta}^2} \right] \frac{1}{r_{i\beta}^3} \quad (1-1) \end{aligned}$$

The indices  $i$  ( $= 1, 2$ ) refer to the two electrons and the indices  $\beta$  ( $= a, b$ ) refer to the two nuclei.  $\underline{\ell}_i$  and  $\underline{S}_i$  are the orbital angular momentum and the spin of the electron  $i$ ;  $\underline{I}_\beta$  is the spin of the nucleus  $\beta$ ;  $g_I = 0.003038$  for proton and  $\alpha$  is the fine structure constant.

$H_M$  is the interaction of the magnetic moments of the molecule with the external magnetic field,

$$H_M = \mu_0 (g_S \underline{S} + g_N \underline{N} + g_I \underline{I}) \cdot \underline{H} \quad (1-2)$$

where  $\mu_0$  is the Bohr magneton;  $\underline{S}$  is the total electronic spin,  $\underline{S} = \underline{S}_1 + \underline{S}_2$ ;  $\underline{N}$  is the total rotational angular momentum which is the resultant of pure rotational angular momentum  $\underline{Q}$  and the projection of electronic orbital angular momentum along the figure axis  $\underline{\Lambda}$ ;  $\underline{I}$  is the total nuclear spin,  $\underline{I} = \underline{I}_1 + \underline{I}_2$ ; and  $\underline{H}$  is the external magnetic field.  $\underline{S}$  and  $\underline{N}$  will couple to give the total electronic angular momentum  $\underline{J}$ ,  $\underline{J} = \underline{N} + \underline{S}$ , and  $\underline{J}$  will couple with  $\underline{I}$  to give the total angular momentum  $\underline{F}$  of the molecule,  $\underline{F} = \underline{J} + \underline{I}$ .

Due to the closeness of the fine structure separation, there are mixings among the levels of same  $\underline{F}$  but different  $\underline{J}$ 's. In a zero magnetic field, the wave function of a hyperfine level ( $\underline{F}, m_F$ ) within a fine structure level  $\underline{J}$  (for a given rotational level  $\underline{N}$  of  $c^3\pi_u$  state) is expressed up to first order correction as,

$$\Psi_{J,F,m_F} = \phi_{J,F,m_F}^0 + \sum_{J'} \frac{(\langle {}^3\pi_u, FJIN | H_{hfs} | {}^3\pi_u, FJ'IN \rangle)}{E_{J,F} - E_{J',F}} \phi_{J',F,m_F}^0 \quad (1-3)$$

where  $\phi_{J,F,m_F}^0$  is the ortho normal zero<sup>th</sup> order wave function for level ( $\underline{JF}, m_F$ ) of the  $c^3\pi_u$  state where both  $\underline{F}$  and  $\underline{J}$  are good quantum numbers. In the presence of a very weak magnetic field the energy of this hyperfine level is therefore (up to second order correction) the following,

$$E_{J,F,m_F} = E_{J,F}(\text{hfs}) + (g_F^{(0)} + g_F') \mu_0 m_F H \quad (1-4)$$

where

$$E_{J,F}(\text{hfs}) = \left( {}^3\pi_u, FJIN | H_{\text{hfs}} | {}^3\pi_u, FJIN \right) + \sum_{J' \neq J} \frac{\left| \left( {}^3\pi_u, FJIN | H_{\text{hfs}} | {}^3\pi_u, FJ'IN \right) \right|^2}{E_{J,F} - E_{J',F}} \quad (1-5)$$

The first and the second terms on the right hand side of Eq. (1-5) are the first order energy,  $E_{J,F}^{(1)}(\text{hfs})$ , and the second order energy,  $E_{J,F}^{(2)}(\text{hfs})$ , respectively due to the hyperfine interaction and,

$$g_F^{(0)}(J) = [F(F+1)]^{-1/2} (FJINS \parallel g_N \underline{N} + g_S \underline{S} + g_I \underline{I} \parallel FJINS) \quad (1-6)$$

$$g_F'(J) =$$

$$\frac{2}{[F(F+1)]^{1/2}} \sum_{J' \neq J} \frac{\left( {}^3\pi_u, FJIN | H_{\text{hfs}} | {}^3\pi_u, FJ'IN \right) (FJ'INS \parallel g_N \underline{N} + g_S \underline{S} \parallel FJINS)}{E_{J,F} - E_{J',F}} + \sum_{J' \neq J} \frac{\left| \left( {}^3\pi_u, FJIN | H_{\text{hfs}} | {}^3\pi_u, FJ'IN \right) \right|^2}{E_{J,F} - E_{J',F}} g_F^{(0)}(J') \quad (1-7)$$

$g_F^{(0)}(J)$  is the zero<sup>th</sup> order  $g_F$  value when both  $F$  and  $J$  are good quantum numbers. The first term on the right hand side of (1-7) is the first order correction,  $g_F^{(1)}(J)$ , which can be of comparable magnitude to that of  $g_F^{(0)}(J)$  due to the energy separation in the denominator is not large. The second term in the RHS of Eq. (1-7) is the second order correction,  $g_F^{(2)}(J)$ , which is small. The reduced matrix elements in Eq. (1-6) and Eq. (1-7) are defined by Wigner-Eckart's theorem<sup>10</sup> as follows,

$$(F', m' | T_{\mu}^{(L)} | F, m) = C(FLF'; m, \mu m') (F' || \tilde{T}^{(L)} || F)$$

where  $T_{\mu}^{(L)}$  is the component of the  $L^{\text{th}}$  rank irreducible tensor  $T^{(L)}$ , and  $C(FLF'; m, \mu m')$  is the Clebsch-Gordan's coefficient.<sup>11</sup>

## 2. MATRIX ELEMENTS

To obtain the hyperfine energy separations and the corrections on  $g_F$  values, one needs to evaluate the diagonal and the off diagonal matrix elements of the interacting Hamiltonian  $H'$ . For Hund's case b diatomic molecule, the angular momentum  $N$ ,  $S$  and  $I$  are well defined with respect to the space fixed coordinate system. The matrix elements of  $H_M$  over the molecular wave function can therefore be easily evaluated.<sup>12</sup>  $H_1(hfs)$  is the sum of the scalar products of the electronic angular momentum  $\vec{\ell}_1$  and the nuclear spin  $\vec{I}_{\beta}$ . For matrix elements diagonal in total nuclear spin  $I$ , one can write  $H_1(hfs)$  in the following form,

$$H_1(hfs) = (g_I \alpha^2 / 4) \sum_{i\beta} \left( \frac{1}{r_{i\beta}^3} \right) \vec{\ell}_i(\mathbf{x}') \cdot \vec{I}_{\beta}$$

where  $\mathbf{x}'$  refers to the fixed space coordinate system. By considering the above expression as a scalar product of two tensors of first rank, one can evaluate the matrix elements of  $H_1$  (hfs) as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( {}^3\pi_u, F J' I N \left| \left( \frac{1}{r_{i\beta}^3} \right) \ell_{\mathbf{w}i}(\mathbf{x}') \cdot \mathbf{I} \right| {}^3\pi_u, F J I N \right) \\ &= (-1)^{I+J'-F} [(2J' + 1)(2I + 1)]^{1/2} W(J I J' I; F 1) \\ & \quad \times \left( {}^3\pi_u, J' N \left\| \left( \frac{1}{r_{i\beta}^3} \right) \ell_{\mathbf{w}i}(\mathbf{x}') \right\| {}^3\pi_u, J N \right) (I \parallel \mathbf{I} \parallel I), \end{aligned}$$

where  $W(J I J' I; F 1)$  is the Racah's coefficient,<sup>13</sup> and  $I = 1$  for ortho  $H_2$ . The reduced matrix element  $(I \parallel \mathbf{I} \parallel I) = [I(I + 1)]^{1/2} = \sqrt{2}$ . After  $(1/r_{i\beta}^3) \ell_{\mathbf{w}i}(\mathbf{x}')$  been transformed from fixed coordinate system  $(\mathbf{x}')$  into molecular moving coordinate system  $(\mathbf{x})$ , the reduced matrix element of  $(1/r_{i\beta}^3) \ell_{\mathbf{w}i}(\mathbf{x}')$  is evaluated as follows.<sup>14</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( {}^3\pi_u, J' N \left\| \left( \frac{1}{r_{i\beta}^3} \right) \ell_{\mathbf{w}i}(\mathbf{x}') \right\| {}^3\pi_u, J N \right) \\ &= (-1)^{N+J'} [(2N + 1)(2J + 1)]^{1/2} W(N J N J'; 1 1) [N(N + 1)]^{-1/2} \left\langle \left( \frac{1}{r_{i\beta}^3} \right) \ell_{iz}(\mathbf{x}) \right\rangle, \end{aligned}$$



where  $\langle (1/r_{i\beta}^3) \ell_{iz} \rangle$  is to be evaluated in the molecular system ( $\underline{x}$ ) over the electronic wave function of  $c^3\pi_u$  states, the axis  $z$  is the figure axis. The matrix element of  $H_1$  (hfs) is therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & ({}^3\pi_u, FJ'IN | H_1 \text{ (hfs)} | {}^3\pi_u, FJIN) \\ &= (-1)^{N+1-F} [6(2J'+1)(2J+1)(2N+1)/N(N+1)]^{1/2} \\ & \quad \times W(J \ 1 \ J' \ 1; F \ 1) W(N \ J \ N \ J'; 11) a, \end{aligned}$$

where  $J' = J, J \pm 1$  and  $a$  is the coupling constant in terms of the integral  $\langle (1/r_{i\beta}^3) \ell_{iz} \rangle$  and it is defined in Eq. (2-9) below.

$H_2$  (hfs) is the contact interaction of electron spin with nuclear spin. It can be written into an equivalent form for matrix elements diagonal in  $I$  and  $S$ ,

$$H_2 \text{ (hfs)} = (\pi g_I a^2/3) \sum_{i,\beta} \delta(r_{i\beta}) \underline{S} \cdot \underline{I}$$

The matrix element of  $H_2$  (hfs) is similarly decomposed into a product of Racah's coefficient and reduced matrix elements,

$$\begin{aligned} & (FJ'INS | \underline{S} \cdot \underline{I} | FJINS) \\ &= (-1)^{N-S-F} [(2J'+1)(2J+1)(2I+1)(2S+1)]^{1/2} \\ & \quad \times W(JIJ' I; F1) W(SJSJ'; N1) (\underline{I} || \underline{I} || \underline{I}) (\underline{S} || \underline{S} || \underline{S}) \end{aligned}$$

with  $I = 1$ ,  $S = 1$ ,  $\langle I || I || I \rangle = \sqrt{2}$  and  $\langle S || S || S \rangle = \sqrt{2}$  then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( {}^3\pi_u, FJ' N | H_2(\text{hfs}) | {}^3\pi_u, FJN \right) \\ &= (-1)^{N-F-1} 6 \left[ (2J' + 1) (2J + 1) \right]^{1/2} W(J1J' 1; F1) \\ & \quad \times W(1J1J'; N1) \underline{b} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\underline{b}$  is in terms of the integral  $\langle \delta(r_{i\beta}) \rangle$  over the electronic wave function and it is defined in Eq. (2-9) below.

$H_3(\text{hfs})$  represents spin-spin interaction between electrons and nuclei.

For matrix elements diagonal in  $S$  and  $I$ , it is equivalent to write  $H_3(\text{hfs})$  as,

$$- (g_I \alpha^2/8) \sum_{i,\beta} \left( \frac{1}{r_{i\beta}^3} \right) \underline{I} \cdot \left[ \underline{S} - 3 \underline{r}_{i\beta} (\underline{S} \cdot \underline{r}_{i\beta}) / r_{i\beta}^2 \right]$$

Since the doubly degenerate  $\pi$  state is a linear combination of  $\Lambda = +1$  state and  $\Lambda = -1$  state, and the matrix element of  $H_3(\text{hfs})$  is nonvanishing between state of  $\Lambda = +1$  and state of  $\Lambda = -1$ , we therefore have,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( {}^3\pi_u, FJ' IN | H_3(\text{hfs}) | {}^3\pi_u, FJIN \right) \\ &= (FJ' INS, \Lambda = 1 | H_3(\text{hfs}) | FJINS, \Lambda = 1) \\ & \quad - (FJ' INSA = 1 | H_3(\text{hfs}) | FJINS, \Lambda = -1), \end{aligned} \tag{2-1}$$

for odd rotational level ( $N = \text{odd}$ ) of ortho  $H_2$ .<sup>15</sup> If one expresses  $H_3(\text{hfs})$  as a sum of  $H_3^{i\beta}(\text{hfs})$ ,

$$H_3(\text{hfs}) = \sum_{i,\beta} H_3^{i\beta}(\text{hfs})$$

where  $H_3^{i\beta}(\text{hfs})$  is a scalar product of two first rank tensors and its matrix element can again be decomposed into a product of Racah's coefficient and reduced matrix elements.

$$\begin{aligned} & (F J' I N S \Lambda' | H_3^{i\beta}(\text{hfs}) | F J I N S \Lambda) \\ &= - (g_I \alpha^2/8) (-1)^{I+J'-F} [(2I+1)(2J'+1)]^{1/2} W(IJ I J'; F1) (I || \underline{I} || I) \\ & \quad \times \left( J' N S \Lambda' || \left( \frac{1}{r_{i\beta}^3} \right) \left[ \underline{S} - 3 \underline{r}_{i\beta} (\underline{S} \cdot \underline{r}_{i\beta}) / r_{i\beta}^2 \right] || J N S \Lambda \right) \end{aligned}$$

where  $(I || \underline{I} || I) = [I(I+1)]^{1/2}$  and  $I = 1$  for ortho  $H_2$ . Let,

$$\underline{x}^{(1)} = \underline{S} - 3 \underline{r}_{i\beta} (\underline{S} \cdot \underline{r}_{i\beta}) / r_{i\beta}^2$$

where  $\underline{x}^{(1)}$  is a spherical tensor of the first rank and it can be constructed from a first rank spherical tensor  $S^{(1)}$  and a second rank spherical tensor  $\underline{C}^{(2)}(\theta'_{i\beta} \varphi'_{i\beta})$  where  $\underline{C}_m^{(\ell)}(\theta' \varphi') = (4\pi/5)^{1/2} Y_\ell^m(\theta' \varphi')$ . We therefore have,<sup>10</sup>

$$\underline{x}_m^{(1)} = k \sum_{\mu} C(121; \mu, m-\mu, m) S_\mu^{(1)} \underline{C}_{m-\mu}^{(2)}(\theta'_{i\beta} \varphi'_{i\beta})$$

where  $C(121; \mu, m-\mu, m)$  is the Clebsch-Gordan coefficient,<sup>12</sup> and  $\theta'_{i\beta}$  and  $\varphi'_{i\beta}$  are the polar and azimuth angles of  $\underline{r}_{i\beta}$  with respect to space fixed coordinate system. The constant  $k = \sqrt{10}$  can be obtained by considering the case of  $m = 0$ . The reduced matrix element of  $\underline{x}^{(1)}$  are then decomposed into the product of reduced matrix element of  $\underline{C}^{(2)}(\theta'_{i\beta} \varphi'_{i\beta})$  and of  $S$ , and a  $9j$  symbol. By using

the relation of  $\langle S || \underline{\underline{S}} || S \rangle = [S(S+1)]^{1/2}$  and  $S = 1$  for triplet electronic state, the reduced matrix element of  $\underline{\underline{x}}^{(1)}/r_{i\beta}^3$  may be evaluated as follows,<sup>16</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} & (J' N S \Lambda' || \underline{\underline{x}}^{(1)}/r_{i\beta}^3 || J N S \Lambda) \\ &= [180 (2J+1) (2N+1)]^{1/2} \left\{ \begin{matrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ N & N & 2 \\ J' & J & 1 \end{matrix} \right\} \left( N \Lambda' || \frac{\underline{\underline{C}}^{(2)}(\theta'_{i\beta} \varphi'_{i\beta})}{r_{i\beta}^3} || N \Lambda \right) \quad (2-3) \end{aligned}$$

The above 9j symbol is a sum of the products of Racah's coefficients.<sup>16a, 17</sup> For the case of  $J' = J, J \pm 1$  the 9j symbol can be expressed into the following simple expressions,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \begin{matrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ N & N & 2 \\ J & J & 1 \end{matrix} \right\} = \\ & \frac{[4 + N(N+1) - J(J+1)] [3J(J+1) + N(N+1)] - 12}{12 [5N(N+1) (2N+1) (2N+3) (2N-1) J(J+1) (2J+1)]^{1/2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \begin{matrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ N & N & 2 \\ J+1 & J & 1 \end{matrix} \right\} = \\ & \frac{[(J+N+3) (N+J) (J-N+2) (N-J+1)]^{1/2} [3(J+1)^2 - N(N+1) - 6]}{12 [5N(N+1) (2N+1) (2N+3) (2N-1) (J+1) (2J+1) (2J+3)]^{1/2}} \quad (2-4) \end{aligned}$$

After a proper transformation of the fixed coordinate  $(\theta'_{i\beta} \varphi'_{i\beta})$  into the moving molecular coordinate  $(\theta_{i\beta}, \varphi_{i\beta})$  we have,<sup>14</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} & (N \Lambda' || \underline{\underline{C}}^{(2)}(\theta'_{i\beta} \varphi'_{i\beta})/r_{i\beta}^3 || N \Lambda) = (-1)^k C(N 2 N; \Lambda' k \Lambda) \langle \Lambda' | \underline{\underline{C}}_{-k}^{(2)}(\theta_{i\beta} \varphi_{i\beta})/r_{i\beta}^3 | \Lambda \rangle \\ & \quad (2-5) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\langle C_{-k}^{(2)} (\theta_{i\beta} \varphi_{i\beta}) / r_{i\beta}^3 \rangle$  is independent of the molecular rotation and is to be evaluated over the electronic wave function of the  $c^3\pi_u$  state of  $H_2$ . By substituting (2-2), (2-3), (2-4) and (2-5) into (2-1) one obtains the matrix element for  $H_3(hfs)$  in the following,

$$\langle {}^3\pi_u, FJ'N | H_3(hfs) | {}^3\pi_u, FJN \rangle =$$

$$(-1)^{J'+F} W(1J1J'; F1) \left[ \frac{270N(N+1)(2N+1)(2J'+1)(2J+1)}{(2N-1)(2N+3)} \right]^{1/2} \\ \times \left[ \frac{3-N(N+1)}{N(N+1)} c - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2} d \right] \begin{Bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ N & N & 2 \\ J' & J & 1 \end{Bmatrix} \quad (2-6)$$

where  $J' = J, J \pm 1$ , and the coupling constants  $c$  and  $d$  are defined in (2-9).

Combining the matrix elements for  $H_1(hfs)$ ,  $H_2(hfs)$  and  $H_3(hfs)$  we have the matrix elements for  $H_{hfs}$  as follows,

$$\langle {}^3\pi_u, F, J, N | H_{hfs} | {}^3\pi_u, F, J, N \rangle = \frac{Q_1}{2J(J+1)} \left\{ \frac{[J(J+1) + N(N+1) - 2]}{N(N+1)} \underline{a} \right. \\ \left. + [2 + J(J+1) - N(N+1)] \underline{b} + \frac{[4 + N(N+1) - J(J+1)] [3J(J+1) + N(N+1)] - 12}{2(2N-1)(2N+3)} \right. \\ \left. \times \left[ \frac{N(N+1) - 3}{N(N+1)} c + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2} d \right] \right\} \quad (2-7)$$

$$({}^3\pi_u, F, J+1, N | H_{hfs} | {}^3\pi_u, F, J, N)$$

$$= -\frac{Q_2 Q_3}{2(J+1)} \left\{ \frac{a}{N(N+1)} + b + \frac{[3(J+1)^2 - N(N+1) - 6]}{2(2N-1)(2N+3)} \right. \\ \left. \times \left[ \frac{N(N+1) - 3}{N(N+1)} c + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2} d \right] \right\} \quad (2-8a)$$

and

$$({}^3\pi_u, F, J-1, N | H_{hfs} | {}^3\pi_u, F, J, N)$$

$$= -\frac{\bar{Q}_2 \bar{Q}_3}{2J} \left\{ \frac{a}{N(N+1)} + b + \frac{[3J^2 - N(N+1) - 6]}{2(2N-1)(2N+3)} \right. \\ \left. \times \left[ \frac{N(N+1) - 3}{N(N+1)} c + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2} d \right] \right\} \quad (2-8b)$$

where  $a, b, c$  and  $d$  are the coupling constants to be evaluated over the electronic wave function of the  $c^3\pi_u$  state and they are defined in the following:

$$a = \frac{g_I \alpha^2}{4} \left\langle \frac{\ell_{1z}}{r_{1a}^3} + \frac{\ell_{1z}}{r_{1b}^3} + \frac{\ell_{2z}}{r_{2a}^3} + \frac{\ell_{2z}}{r_{2b}^3} \right\rangle$$

$$b = (g_I \pi \alpha^2 / 3) \left\langle \delta(r_{1a}) + \delta(r_{1b}) + \delta(r_{2a}) + \delta(r_{2b}) \right\rangle$$

$$c = \frac{g_I \alpha^2}{4} \left\langle \frac{C_0^{(2)}(\theta_{1a} \varphi_{1a})}{r_{1a}^3} + \frac{C_0^{(2)}(\theta_{1b} \varphi_{1b})}{r_{1b}^3} + \frac{C_0^{(2)}(\theta_{2a} \varphi_{2a})}{r_{2a}^3} + \frac{C_0^{(2)}(\theta_{2b} \varphi_{2b})}{r_{2b}^3} \right\rangle$$

$$d = \frac{g_I \alpha^2}{4} \left\langle \frac{C_2^{(2)}(\theta_{1a} \varphi_{1a})}{r_{1a}^3} + \frac{C_2^{(2)}(\theta_{1b} \varphi_{1b})}{r_{1b}^3} + \frac{C_2^{(2)}(\theta_{2a} \varphi_{2a})}{r_{2a}^3} + \frac{C_2^{(2)}(\theta_{2b} \varphi_{2b})}{r_{2b}^3} \right\rangle \quad (2-9)$$

and

$$C_m^{(\ell)}(\theta \varphi) = \left( \frac{4\pi}{2^\ell + 1} \right)^{1/2} Y_m^\ell(\theta \varphi)$$

The coefficients  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$ ,  $Q_3$ ,  $\bar{Q}_2$  and  $\bar{Q}_3$  appeared in (2-7) and (2-8) are defined as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= [F(F+1) - J(J+1) - 2]/2 \\ Q_2 &= [(F+J+3)(F+1-J)(F+J)(J-F+2)]^{1/2}/2 \\ Q_3 &= \left[ \frac{(J+N+3)(J+N)(J-N+2)(N-J+1)}{(2J+1)(2J+3)} \right]^{1/2} \\ \bar{Q}_2 &= [(F+J+2)(F+2-J)(F+J-1)(J-F+1)]^{1/2}/2 \\ \bar{Q}_3 &= \left[ \frac{(J+N+2)(J+N-1)(J-N+1)(N-J+2)}{(2J-1)(2J+1)} \right]^{1/2} \end{aligned} \quad (2-10)$$

### 3. THE CASE OF $N = 1$

With all the matrix elements developed in the last section, we will now express the hyperfine energy and the  $g_F$  values for the rotational level  $N = 1$ .

$$E_{J,F}(\text{hfs}) = E_{J,F}^{(1)}(\text{hfs}) + E_{J,F}^{(2)}(\text{hfs})$$

Substituting (2-7) and (2-8) into (1-5) we have,

$$E_{J,F}^{(1)}(\text{hfs}) = (Q_1/40) \left\{ 10a + 20b + [16 - 3J(J+1)] [\sqrt{6}d - c] \right\} \quad (3-1)$$

and

$$E_{J,F}^{(2)}(\text{hfs}) = \left[ \frac{Q_2 Q_3 P}{40(J+1)} \right]^2 \frac{1}{\Delta E_{J,J+1}(F)} + \left[ \frac{\bar{Q}_2 \bar{Q}_3 \bar{P}}{40J} \right]^2 \frac{1}{\Delta E_{J,J-1}(F)} \quad (3-2)$$

where

$$\Delta E_{J,J\pm 1}(F) = E(J, F) - E(J \pm 1, F) \quad (3-3)$$

$$P \equiv 10\underline{a} + 20\underline{b} + [3(J+1)^2 - 8] [\sqrt{6}\underline{d} - \underline{c}] \quad (3-4)$$

and

$$\bar{P} \equiv 10\underline{a} + 20\underline{b} + [3J^2 - 8] [\sqrt{6}\underline{d} - \underline{c}]$$

The  $Q$ 's and  $\underline{a}$ ,  $\underline{b}$ ,  $\underline{c}$ ,  $\underline{d}$  are defined in (2-10) and (2-9).

For  $g_F$  values, we neglect the small correcting term  $g_F^{(2)}$  (second term) in Eq. (1-7), then,  $g_F'(J) = g_F^{(1)}(J)$  and

$$g_F(J) = g_F^{(0)}(J) + g_F^{(1)}(J)$$

For the case of  $N = 1$ , we have

$$g_F^{(0)}(J) = \frac{F(F+1) + J(J+1) - 2}{4F(F+1)} (g_S + g_N) + \frac{F(F+1) - J(J+1) + 2}{2F(F+1)} g_I \quad (3-5)$$

$$g_F^{(1)}(J) = \frac{(g_S + g_N)}{40F(F+1)} \left[ \frac{Q_2^2 Q_3^2 P}{(J+1)^2 \Delta E_{J,J+1}} + \frac{\bar{Q}_3^2 \bar{Q}_3^2 \bar{P}}{J^2 \Delta E_{J,J-1}} \right] \quad (3-6)$$



where  $P$  and  $\bar{P}$  are defined in Eq. (3-4), and  $g_N = \Lambda/N(N+1) = 1/2$ ,  $g_S = 2$  and  $g_I = 0.003038$ . Since the wave function  $\Psi_{JFM_F}$  is normalized up to the first order (see Eq. (1-3)), we therefore have<sup>18</sup>

$$\sum_J g_F^{(1)}(J) = 0 \quad (3-7)$$

All the values of  $g_F^{(0)}(J)$  for different  $N$ 's have been tabulated<sup>1</sup> by using Eq. (3-5). We now summarize all the  $g_F^{(1)}(J)$  and  $E_{J,F}^{(2)}$  (hfs) for the case of  $N = 1$  in the following, let

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &= \underline{a} + 2\underline{b} - (\sqrt{6}\underline{d} - c)/2 \\ K_2 &= \underline{a} + 2\underline{b} + 2(\sqrt{6}\underline{d} - c)/5 \end{aligned} \quad (3-8)$$

we have,

$$\begin{aligned} g_F^{(1)}(F = 1, J = 0) &= -5K_1/3\Delta E_{1,0}(F = 1) \\ g_F^{(1)}(F = 1, J = 1) &= 25K_2/48\Delta E_{1,2}(F = 1) + 5K_1/3\Delta E_{1,0}(F = 1) \\ g_F^{(1)}(F = 1, J = 2) &= -25K_2/48\Delta E_{1,2}(F = 1) \\ g_F^{(1)}(F = 2, J = 1) &= 5K_2/16\Delta E_{1,2}(F = 2) \\ g_F^{(1)}(F = 2, J = 2) &= -5K_2/16\Delta E_{1,2}(F = 2) \\ g_F^{(1)}(F = 3, J = 2) &= g_F^{(1)}(F = 0, J = 1) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3-9)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
E^{(2)}(F = 1, J = 0) &= -(K_1)^2 / 3\Delta E_{1,0}(F = 1) \\
E^{(2)}(F = 1, J = 1) &= 5(K_2)^2 / 48\Delta E_{1,2}(F = 1) + (K_1)^2 / 3\Delta E_{1,0}(F = 1) \\
E^{(2)}(F = 1, J = 2) &= -5(K_2)^2 / 48\Delta E_{1,2}(F = 1) \\
E^{(2)}(F = 2, J = 1) &= 3(K_2)^2 / 16\Delta E_{1,2}(F = 2) \\
E^{(2)}(F = 2, J = 2) &= -3(K_2)^2 / 16\Delta E_{1,2}(F = 2) \\
E^{(2)}(F = 3, J = 2) &= E^{(2)}(F = 0, J = 1) = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{3-10}$$

Where the  $\Delta E_{J,J'}(F)$  's are defined in (3-3). Note that the sum rule relation (3-7) holds for the  $g_F^{(1)}$ 's in (3-9) and a similar relation  $\sum_j E_{J,F}^{(2)} = 0$  also holds for  $E^{(2)}$  in (3-10).

Experimentally, one can determine the constants  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  from the fine structure and the hyperfine structure separations and the  $g_F^{(1)}$  values. Knowing  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  one can obtain the linear combinations,  $(a + 2b)$  and  $(\sqrt{6}d - c)$ , from Eq. (3-8). Measurements on  $N = 1$  rotational level alone therefore cannot determine the coupling constants  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $c$ , and  $d$  independently. Additional measurements on  $N = 3$  level will be needed to determine these hyperfine coupling constants independently.

#### 4. COUPLING CONSTANTS

The coupling constants  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $c$ , and  $d$  are defined in (2-8). They have been evaluated by using a single configurational electronic wave function<sup>6</sup> of the  $c^3\pi_u$  state. The wave function is a linear combination of the Heitler-Condon type with coefficient  $C_H$  and ionic-type wave function with coefficient  $C_I$ . Both types of wave function are made of Slater-type atomic orbitals of  $1s\sigma$  and  $2p\pi$  symmetry. For the orbital exponents  $\zeta_{1s\sigma}$  ( $= 1.24108$ ) and  $\zeta_{2p\pi}$  ( $= 0.50282$ ) the coefficients  $C_I$  ( $= 0.2808$ ) and  $C_H$  ( $= 0.5612$ ) are the values which minimize the total energy  $E$  ( $= -0.718793$  a.u.) of the molecule in a  $c^3\pi_u$  state at equilibrium internuclear distance  $R_e$  ( $= 1.96080$  a.u.). The values of the coupling constants are evaluated numerically<sup>19</sup> on the IBM 7094 computer, and they are the following.

$$\underline{a} = 17.44 \text{ Mc/Sec}, \quad \underline{b} = 545.27 \text{ Mc/Sec}.$$

$$\underline{c} = 28.95 \text{ Mc/Sec}, \quad \underline{d} = -8.27 \text{ Mc/Sec}.$$

Frey and Mizushima<sup>7</sup> have also computed these coupling constant by using Amemiya's wave function.<sup>20</sup> Their  $\underline{c}$  and  $\underline{d}$  values appear to be very different from ours.<sup>21</sup> It seems that they may have defined their constants differently,<sup>22</sup> although the definition of coupling constants was not given by them.

With the calculated coupling constants one can obtain all the first order  $g_F^{(1)}$ 's from Eq. (3-9) and the second order  $E^{(2)}$ 's from Eq. (3-10). The  $g_F$  values thus corrected by  $g_F^{(1)}$ 's give a better agreement with the experimental values<sup>3</sup> than the uncorrected  $g_F^{(0)}$ 's. Since all the operators involved in calculating the coupling constants are one electron operators, a set of much improved coupling

constants can therefore be calculated easily when an accurate electronic wave function for  $c^3\pi_u$  state is available.

## 5. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author is indebted to Professor W. Lichten for his valuable suggestions, helpful comments and interest in this work.

## REFERENCES

- (1) W. Lichten, Phys. Rev. 120, 848 (1960).
- (2) W. Lichten, Phys. Rev. 126, 1020 (1962).
- (3) W. Lichten and P. Brooks, (unpublished).
- (4) G. Herzberg, Spectra of Diatomic Molecules (D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc. Princeton, New Jersey, 1955).
- (5) P. R. Fontana, Phys. Rev. 125, 220 (1962).
- (6) L. Y. C. Chiu, Phys. Rev. 137, A384 (1965).
- (7) D. A. Frey and M. Mizushima, Phys. Rev. 128, 2683 (1962).
- (8) L. Y. C. Chiu, J. Chem. Phys. 40, 2276 (1964).
- (9) Pure Hund's Case b angular momentum coupling is assumed for hydrogen molecule.
- (10) M. E. Rose, Elementary Theory of Angular Momentum (John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York 1961)
- (11) E. U. Condon and G. H. Shortley, The Theory of Atomic Spectra, p. 69-71 (Chambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1953).
- (12) See for instance reference (11). The Diagonal Matrix Elements of  $H_M$  are presented in reference (1).

- (13) L. C. Biedenharn, J. M. Blatt and M. E. Rose, Rev. Mod. Phys. 24, 249 (1952)
- (14) The transformation and the evaluation of matrix elements of this type have been discussed in detail in reference (5) and (8).
- (15) For ortho  $H_2$ , only odd rotational levels are metastable, also see Eq. (1-2) of reference (8).
- (16) (a) A. R. Edmonds, Angular Momentum in Quantum Mechanics, p. 110 (Cambridge University Press, New York, 1959). (b) Note the definition of the reduced matrix element,  $(J' || T^{(k)} || J)$ , by Edmonds differs from ours by a factor of  $(2J' + 1)^{1/2}$ .
- (17) D. M. Brink and G. R. Satchler, Angular Momentum (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1962).
- (18) This is the so called sum rule of  $g$  values at different representations. However this relation will not be true if one includes the second order correction  $g_F^{(2)}(J)$ .
- (19) See reference (6) for details of the method used in evaluating the two center integrals involved.
- (20) A. Amemiya, Proc. Phys.-Math. Soc. Japan 21, 394 (1939).
- (21) Mizushima's values are as follows,  $a = 23$  Mc/Sec,  $b = 417$  Mc/Sec,  $c = -168$  Mc/Sec and  $d = 0.6$  Mc/Sec.
- (22) From Frey and Mizushima's paper (Ref. 6), their "a" appears to be  $(g_I \alpha^2 / 4) \left\langle \sum_{ij} 1/r_{ij} \right\rangle$  and it is incorrect. From their Eq. (5) and Eq. (6), "d" is  $\sqrt{6}/4$  times of what we have defined here in Eq. (2-8), and this definition is inconsistent with their Eq. (10). Furthermore their Eq. (10)

appears to be incorrect because the ratio of the terms with  $c$  and  $d$  should be  $J$  independent whereas their ratio is  $J$  dependent (and this is the reason that  $c$  and  $d$  cannot be determined independently by measurements on rotational  $N = 1$  level alone).